## NEURAL NETWORKS APPLIED TO PREDICTION OF AXIAL FORCE AT HELICAL DRILL MACHINING

Nicușor Baroiu<sup>1</sup>, Elena Felicia Beznea<sup>2</sup>, Florin Susac<sup>1</sup>, Răzvan Tudor Roșculeț<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>"Dunărea de Jos" University of Galați, Depart. of Manufacturing Engineering,
 <sup>2</sup>"Dunărea de Jos" University of Galați, Mechanical Engineering Depart.,
 nbaroiu@ugal.ro, fsusac@ugal.ro, ebeznea@ugal.ro, razvan.rosculet@ugal.ro

**Abstract:** The analytical determination of cutting forces components using mathematical equations can be approximate because these equations do not consider all interdependencies between the factors which influence the cutting process. For this reason, when it is necessary to study the drilling forces, experimental methods based on determination of these forces through measuring of elastic deformations, such as twisting angle or elongation, of some components of dynamometers are used. The measurements of axial force at drilling are carried out at the same time with determination of coefficients and exponents from empirical equations which are available in the literature, for materials used in experiment.

The paper presents experimental results on HSS helical drills with two straight edges with three diameters used for cutting two materials A570 and 16MnCr5. The cutting parameters are: one speed (n) [rpm] and three feeding rates (f).

Neural networks are used in the fields where the predictability of a phenomenon or the answer to a phenomenon cannot be solved using simple mathematical equations. In this case, the artificial neural networks (ANN) are used for optimization of drilling process correlated with experimental tests.

Keywords: drilling, straight edge, cutting forces, Kistler system, ANN

#### **1. Introduction**

In the process of machining with drills with straight-edged, the analysis of physical phenomena can be performed using the basic models of the orthogonal cutting, the forces that acts on the drill be deducted, by analogy with the forces acting on the cutting edge of a lathe tool to turning orthogonal [Sahu, 2004], figure 1 [Yang, 2002]. Thus, the forces of the machining cross section which acts on the two main ways of drill shaft can be broken down into several components.

In another form, simplified [Minciu, 1999], the components of the cutting force in drilling are defined as shown in Figure 2:

- main cutting force  $P_z$ , in the direction of cutting movement

- advance,  $P_x$ , in the direction of the tool axis;

- rejection force,  $P_y$ ;



**Figure 1:** Correlation between forces in orthogonal cutting and drilling [Yang, 2002]



Figure 2: Components of the cutting forces [Minciu, 1999]

The manner in which the cutting forces to play an important role in the quality of the product and in the stability of the process of processing, forced to imagine of methods of prediction of its size, which may be grouped into three classes: analytical methods, numeric, experimental methods [Langella, 2005], [Pirtini, 2005].

# 2. The analytical models, numerical and experimental to the evaluation of the axial force

# Analytical models to the evaluation of the axial force

Classic in the literature of the Romanian scientific [Ștețiu, 1994] supports a similarity between the turning and drills and proposes an analytical model for the determination of the axial force arising from cut. In figure 3, is presented the analogy between the forces for turning and the drills.

The radial force acting on the chip, determine the relation with the [Stețiu, 1994]:

$$F_r = C_r \cdot \frac{s_d^{1-\mu} \cdot z}{2 \cdot \sin^{\mu} \kappa} \cdot (D - D_0) \tag{1}$$

where  $C_r$  there is the unitary resistance  $[N/m^2]$ .

For the exponent  $\mu$  are determined the values:  $\mu$ =0.25, for steel;  $\mu$ =0.33, for cast iron;  $\kappa$  attack angle,  $D_0$  - diameter of initial hole.



**Figure 3:** Analogy turning-drilling. The components of the cutting forces [Ștețiu, 1994]

May also be define and relations other for the calculation of the axial force of the drill the main cutting force [Enache, 1983]:

$$F_A = 0,91 \cdot C_F \cdot D^{x_F} \cdot s^{y_F} \tag{2}$$

$$F_{Z} = 1, 1 \cdot \frac{K_{s1} \cdot s^{1-\mu}}{2^{1-\mu} \cdot \sin^{\mu} \kappa} \cdot (D - D_{0}) [daN]$$
(3)

Where *D* is the diameter of the drill [mm]; *s* - feed [mm/rpm];  $C_F$  - adjustment coefficient of the axial cutting force;  $x_F$  and  $y_F$  - exponents to the determination of the axial cutting force.

Numerical models to the evaluation of the axial force

For the implementation of the optimization algorithms, the cutting forces must be defined by numerical models. In the line of approaches that has checked the development of numerical patterns with simulation force of the axial drills CAD [Kyratsis, 2011], fuzzy logic (fuzzy logic) [Vimal, 2009], methods of experimental planning Taguchi, Anova etc. [Jindal, 2012].

The finite element method (FEM) can provide a uniform system of virtual approach of the drilling process, soft packages of analysis with the finished items being used frequent [Isbilir, 2011], [Petrariu, 2008], [Roud, 2011]. *Experimental models to the evaluation of the axial force* 

Experimental models which have as their object of study the size of the cutting forces, they pursued the establishment of derive empirical relationships taking account of the factors of influence the most important.

Over the size of the cutting force influence a multitude of factors, most frequently encountered in the experimental determinations being the following: the material, the geometry of the cutting tools, the material of the cutting tools, lubricant, vibrations etc. [Kadam, 2011], [Xia, 2004].

Were made geometries of the drill, [Abrão, 2007], [Ema, 2012], which lead to a decrease in the essential part of axial force.

Experimental research [Ben Salem, 2012], [Kadam, 2011] have determined the influence of the machining parameters on the axial force in the process of drill.

Experimental models [Ștețiu, 1994], [Hamade, 2006] have led to obtaining simplified relations for the calculation of the main cutting force which have recourse to the analytical model determined - see the relationship (1). As a result of the application of the model are obtained relations listed in table 1, for a steel with  $\sigma_r$ =50÷60 daN/mm<sup>2</sup> and cast iron with HB=180÷200.

Table 1:	Equations	of	fadvance	force	[Ștețiu,	1994]
----------	-----------	----	----------	-------	----------	-------

Material	Force [daN]
Steel (µ=0.25)	$F_r = 104 \cdot D \cdot s^{0,75}$
Cast iron (µ=0.35)	$F_r=57 \cdot D \cdot s^{0,67}$

Usually, the axial force  $F_A$  is being expressed by the means of a relation like:

$$F_A = C_{F_1} \cdot D^{z_F} \cdot s^{y_F} \cdot (HB)^{n_F} \cdot K_F \tag{4}$$

where  $n_F$  is the coefficient determined in an experimental way.

The values calculated with the relationship (4) shall be adjusted by a global coefficient, obtained that the product has more than one, with relationship:

$$K_F = K_{aF} \cdot K_{saF} \cdot K_{\kappa F} \cdot K_{\eta F} \tag{5}$$

From the analysis of correction coefficients one can observe that, main factors that are non-constructive and that can influence the axial force are: sharpening method  $(K_{aF})$ , over sharpening  $(K_{saF})$ , mechanic characteristics of material  $(K_{\kappa F})$ , modality of greasing  $(K_{nF})$ .

#### 3. Equipment and methodology

#### Equipment

Tests have been carried out in conditions of total dry matter, using the drilling machine with column 6GM-A1, on a table of which is placed the dynamometer and blanks for processing. In order to establish the dependence of axial force-the diameter of the drill, were used 3 HSS helical drills with straight (within the range of diameters of the Ø20mm, Ø18mm and Ø 16mm).

Blanks to processing have been made of 16MnCr5, sizes Ø50x70 mm, respectively of A570, size 90x50x50 mm (SR EN ISO 10084:2002).

#### Data acquisition

The data acquisition comprises: Earth Kistler tensometric - Model 9272, an amplifier electronic commerce - Kistler model 5070Ax01xx, connection cables (1677Kistler A5 and 1678A5) and the computer with the data acquisition. Block diagram with parts of the installation of the experimental is shown in Figure 4 [Baroiu,2013]. In order to measure the axial force to drills, acquisition and data processing has been used in a product special software, DynoWare, the Kistler Company.



Figure 4: Block diagram of the experimental installation [Baroiu,2013]

#### The research methods

Through the channels amplifier, the conversion of signals from charging from the dynamometer voltages to the output. The output voltage is proportional with the size of the axial forces that occur at drills.

In blanks of parallelepiped shape of the A570 and cylindrical shape of 16MnCr5, were made in advance, two threaded bores M10 to be caught on the part-adapter which in its turn, was reinforced on the dynamometer Kistler 9272 by means of four screws. The tightness of each bolt was carried out with a torque wrench (TORCOFIX model-K Rahsol), to a torque of 20 N<sup>-</sup>m.

Given the possibility of the dynamometer to measure the force components on three directions, in the present case, have suppressed force components in tangential direction  $(F_x)$  and of the press  $(F_y)$ , leaving only opened the channel to purchase data for  $F_z$  component of the cutting force.

During the process of retrieval of the data values entered are rendered in real time, with the computer monitor, by the soft DynoWare. This allows, in addition to a real-time view of the measured curves, the calculation of the other sizes what encountered in the cutting process, configuration and data storage to be measured.

In parallel with the definition of the parameters of the specific input of the dynamometer, specify machining parameters for each case in part: cutting speed ( $v_c$ ), the advance (f), the speed (n), the feed rate ( $v_f$ ), the depth of cut ( $a_p$ ).

In Table 2 shall be specified in the measurement range and frequencies of work for the elements of the measured force,  $F_z$ .

 Table 2: Intervals for measurement, frequency for Fz

Measurement	$F_x$ [kN]	$F_x$ [kN]	$F_x$ [kN]
Interval	-5÷5	-5÷5	-5÷20
	$f_n(x)$	$f_n(y)$	$f_n(y)$
Frequency	[Hz]	[Hz]	[Hz]
	-	-	500
	$F_x$	$F_y$	$F_z$
Sensitivity	[pC/N]	[pC/N]	[pC/N]
	-7.9	-7,9	-3.6

Parameters of the work regime are the ones specified in Table 3.

(D)	$(v_c)$	( <i>v</i> <sub>f</sub> )	<i>(n)</i>	( <i>f</i> )
mm	m/min	mm/min	rot/min	mm/rot
Ø20	17.6	44.8	280	0.16
Ø18	15.8	70	280	0.25
Ø16	14.1	115	280	0.4

In order to avoid the influence of the depth of the machining hole over the size of the axial force, measurements were made only for the depths of the hole of not more than 1,5 D, where D is the diameter of the drill.

The values of the depth of the holes are correlated with the time of purchase (chosen by 10 or 7 seconds) and are listed in Table 4. The processing time was measured continuously with the aid of a stopwatch.

(f)	<i>(n)</i>	( <i>t</i> )	<i>(d)</i>
mm/rot	rot/min	S	[ <i>mm</i> ]
0.16	280	10	7.5
0.25	280	10	11.7
0.4	280	10	18.7
0.16	280	7	5.2
0.25	280	7	8.2
0.4	280	7	13

 Table 4: Value of hole depth

# 4. The results obtained and interpretation of measurements

For each test, having acquired the signals of axial force, generated in the process of drill via piezoelectric dynamometer type 9272 Kistler. In accordance with the reference number of the dynamometer shall be measured cutting force in the direction of the Z axis. In Figure 5, shall be submitted to the areas of interest of the recorded trace to measure axial force: 1 drill input; 2 - the beginning cutting; 3 - the complete entry of the drill in the task; 4 - area of a cut-off of the advance and the output of the drill. Of each experiment I would have been associated with the recordings and graphic representations of which may be observed in details on the regime of the machining and the variations in the magnitudes of the axial force,  $F_z$ .



Figure 5: Areas of interest of signal for Fz

In tables  $5\div 6$ , the average values of the size of the axial force, calculated by the soft DynoWare, selection range for the calculation considered the area where the helical drills working at full load, within a period of time which ensure the stability of the process of measurement.

**Table 5:** Values of axial force Fz [N]

 for the machining of the material A570

A570	$D = \emptyset 20 mm$			
f mm/rot	0.16	0.25	0.4	
$F_{z}[N]$	922.63	1108.16	1468.44	
A570		$D = \emptyset 18 mm$	l	
f. mm/rot	0.16	0.25	0.4	
$F_{z}[N]$	844.73	915.54	1303.43	
A570		$D = \emptyset 16 mm$	l	
f mm/rot	0.16	0.25	0.4	
$F_{z}[N]$	747.13	874.41	1198.01	

**Table 6:** Values of axial force Fz [N]

 for the machining of the material 16MnCr5

16MnCr5	$D = \emptyset 20 mm$			
f[mm/rot]	0.16	0.25	0.4	
$F_{z}[N]$	679.07	925.25	1244.4	
16MnCr5		$D = \emptyset 18 n$	nm	
f[mm/rot]	0.16	0.25	0.4	
$F_{z}[N]$	653.04	882.62	1107.37	
16MnCr5	$D = \emptyset 16 mm$			
f[mm/rot]	0.16	0.25	0.4	
$F_{z}[N]$	567.7	729.85	998.02	

Equations that are obtained by linear regression for axial force, are like:

$$F_Z = -428,963 + 2025,33 \cdot s + 51,3679 \cdot D \tag{6}$$

As shown in Figure 6, shall be submitted to the diagram of the likelihood of residues at a confidence interval of 95 %, for the variable output  $F_z$ , that the difference between the feedback signal to be measured and the theoretical response of each experiment.

In the plot, it may be noticed that the force  $F_z$ , obtained from the measurements can be found in the confidence interval specified.



Figure 6: Fault of probability of residues for force Fz

When account is taken of the conduct of the tests, it follows that for the trials to which the advance shall be deemed to be constant, relationship (4), the form:

$$F_Z = C'_{F_Z} \cdot D^{X_{F_Z}} \quad [N]; \tag{7}$$

or, for the diameter of the drill constant, the relationship will be of the form:

$$F_Z = C_{F_Z}'' \cdot s^{y_{F_Z}}$$
 [N]; (8)

By logarithms, relations above may be written in the form of:

$$\log F_Z = \log C'_{F_z} + x_{F_z} \cdot \log D;$$
  
$$\log F_Z = \log C''_{F_z} + x_{F_z} \cdot \log D,$$
 (9)

Expressions which can be written in logarithmic coordinate, in the form of the right:

$$y - \overline{y} = m(x - \overline{x}). \tag{10}$$

The slope of rights of type (10) can be determined by the method of some small squares being defined by

$$m = \frac{\overline{xy} - \overline{x} \cdot \overline{y}}{\overline{x}^2 - (\overline{x})^2}$$
(11)

where

$$\overline{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k; \quad \overline{y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k;$$
  

$$\overline{x}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k^2; \quad \overline{xy} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k y_k,$$
(12)

*N* - representing the number of determinations

In relations (11) and (12), through the variable x is understood  $\log D$  or  $\log s$ , as the case may be, and by the variable y means  $\log F_7$ .

In tables 7÷9, one explicatory presents, the values of exponents  $y_{F_z}$ , respectively the value of coefficients  $C'_{F_z}$  and  $C''_{F_z}$ , for the transformed material, A570.

**Table 7:** The calculation of the exponent  $x_{F_z}$ , for f = 0, 16 mm/rot, at the machining A570

D	f.	$F_z$	<i>x</i> =	<i>y</i> =
mm	[mm/rot]	[N]	log s	$log F_z$
	0.16	922.63	-0.7958	2.965
	0.25	1108.16	-0.6020	3.044
20	0.4	1468.44	-0.3979	3.166
	$\underline{\Sigma x}$	<u>Σy</u>	$\Sigma xy$	$\Sigma x^2$
	-1.79588	9.1764	-5.4530	1.154
D	f.	ry	$r^2$	$v^2$
mm	[mm/rot]	лу	л	У
	0.16	-2.3598	0.6334	8.791
	0.25	-1,8330	0,3624	9,269
20	0.4	-1.2602	0.1583	10.02
	$\Sigma y^2$	$(\Sigma x^2)/N$	<u>(Σxy)/N</u>	$y_{F_z}$
	28.0899	0.3847	-1.8176	0.507

**Table 8:** Calculation of the exponent  $C'_{F_z}$  for f=0,16

mm/rot, at the machining A570

D	f.	$F_z$
[ <i>mm</i> ]	[mm/rot]	[N]
20		903.63
18	0.16	844.73
16		747.13
$x_{F_z}$	$y_{F_z}$	$C'_{F_z}$
0.8560	0 5079	177 8502

**Table 9:** Calculation of the exponent  $C_{F_z}^{\prime\prime}$  for s=20mm/rot, at the machining A570

D	f.	$F_z$
[ <i>mm</i> ]	[mm/rot]	[N]
	0.16	922.63
20	0.25	1108.16
	0.4	1468.44
$x_{F_z}$	$y_{F_z}$	$C_{F_z}$
0.8560	0.5079	177.5559

Experimental results, interpreted have led to the following equations of the axial force, for the two transformed materials. For drills with straight edge, in the field of diameters Ø16;Ø20 mm and advances 0.16, 0.25 and 0.4 mm/rot for the machining A570 and 16MnCr5, it results the following equations:

$$F_Z = 156,96 \cdot D^{0,89} \cdot s^{0,50}$$
 [N]; (13)

$$F_{\rm Z} = 133,78 \cdot D^{0,96} \cdot s^{0,62}$$
 [N]; (14)

The size of the axial force due to the action of the chisel edge, it can be considered approximately equal to half the size of the axial force of ownership.

#### 5. Neural artificial networks

Artificial neural networks are very flexible tools used in the prediction of process parameters aiming the optimization of manufacturing process [Susac,2016]. Their use is possible if the input and output parameters is related. TEHNOMUS - New Technologies and Products in Machine Manufacturing Technologies

The scheme of the neural model proposed for axial force prediction is presented in Figure 7. Based on this model, the values of the axial force is calculated. The results are in very good agreement with experimental values; the average error is 2.09%. On the basis of the relationship (6), which defines the values of the axial force,  $F_z$ , and relationships (13)÷(14) which define the values of the axial force,  $F_z$ , calculated on the basis of coefficients and polytrophic exponents, and one has achieved a comparative analysis with values measured of the axial force.



Figure 7: Neural model for axial force prediction

Thus, in tables  $10\div11$  and figures  $8\div9$  one presents the values obtained for  $F_z$ , appeared between measurements, analytical methods, and the ones obtained by the prediction of neuronal networks.

**Table 10:** Comparative values of Fz force,<br/>at the machining A570

D [mm]	f. [mm/rot]	F <sub>z</sub> meas. [N]	F <sub>z</sub> , regres. [N]	F <sub>z</sub> , neur. network [N]
20	0.16	922.63	922.4	915.1
20	0.25	1108.1	1104.7	1110.9
20	0.4	1468.4	1408.5	1444.5
18	0.16	844.73	819.71	819.3
18	0.25	915.54	1001.9	981.9
18	0.4	1303.4	1305.7	1304.0
16	0.16	747.13	716.9	747.9
16	0.25	874.41	899.2	880.0
16	0.4	1198.0	1203.0	1156.2

**Table 11:** Comparative values of  $F_z$  force, at the<br/>machining 16MnCr5

		Ũ		
D	f	$F_z$	$F_{z}$ ,	$F_z$ , neur.
[mm]	]. [mm/rot]	meas.	regres.	network
		[N]	[N]	[N]
20	0.16	679.0	682.4	695.3
20	0.25	925.2	934.7	921.1
20	0.4	1244.4	1258.5	1261.8
18	0.16	653.0	619.7	624.8
18	0.25	882.6	821.9	822.2
18	0.4	1107.3	1305.7	1115.2
16	0.16	567.7	566.9	571.9
16	0.25	729.8	759.2	748.3
16	0.4	998	973.6	987.2





Figure 8: F<sub>z</sub> force at the machining A570



Figure 9: F<sub>z</sub> force at the machining 16MnCr5

#### 6. Conclusions

- one can observe the diameter of the influence of processing, and the advance of work on the machining cross section in the sense that it increases with the increase of the advance of work, i.e. with the diameter of the drill the helical pattern.

- the values of the forces calculated by the relations of the linear regression lead, in some cases, errors relatively higher, in relation with the force of the measured or estimated with the neuronal networks.

### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS – UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2014-4-0031.

### References

1. [Sahu,2004] Sahu, S., DeVor, R., Kapoor, S., *Modeling of forces for drills with chip-breaking grooves*, Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Vol. 126, pp. 555-564, 2004.

2. [Yang,2002] Yang, J. A., Jaganathan, V., Du, R., *A new dynamic model for drilling and reaming processes*, Int. J. of Machine Tools & Manuf., 42, 299–311, 2002.

3. [Minciu,1999] Minciu C., Croitoru S. M., Balan E., *Proiectarea sculelor așchietoare*, Publishing House Bren Prod., Bucharest, 1999.

4. [Langella,2005] Langella, A., L. Nele, A. Maio, *A torque and thrust prediction model for drilling of composite materials*, Composites: Part A, 36, 83–93, 2005.

5. [Pirtini,2005] Pirtini, M., Lazoglu, I., *Forces and hole quality in drilling*, Int. J. of Machine Tools & Manuf., 45, 299–311, 2005.

6. [Ștețiu,1994] Ștețiu, G., *Teoria și practica sculelor așchietoare. Elemente de teoria așchierii metalelor*, Sibiu, ISBN 9739560431.

 [Enache, 1983] Enache, Şt., Belous, V., *Proiectarea sculelor aşchietoare*, Publishing House Didactică şi Pedagogică, Bucharest, 1983.
 [Kyratsis,2011] Kyratsis, P., et al, *Thrust force prediction of twist drill tools using a 3D CAD system application programming interface*, Int. J. of Machining and Machinability of Materials, 10, 18-33, 2011.

9. [Vimal,2009] Vimal Sam Singh, R., Latha, B., Senthilkumar, V.S., *Modeling and analysis of thrust force and torque in drilling GFRP composites by multi-facet drill using fuzzy logic*, Int. J. of Recent Trends in Eng., 1, 66-70, 2009.

10. [Jindal,2012] Jindal, A., *Analysis of tool wear rate in drilling operation using scanning electron microscope*, J. of Minerals & Materials Characterization & Eng., 11, 43-54, 2012.

11. [Isbilir,2011] Isbilir, O., Ghassemieh, E., *Finite element analysis of drilling of titanium alloy*, Procedia Eng., 10, 1877–1882, 2011.

12. [Petrariu,2008] Petrariu, V., Amarandei, D., Alaci, S., *Study about finite element analysis of high speed drilling*, Annals of the Oradea Univ., VII, 1684-1689, 2008.

13. [Roud,2011] Roud, P., et al, *Using of FEM* for chip formation and cutting force prediction when drilling tool steel AISI D3, Modern Machinery Science Journal, 236-240, 2011.

14. [Kadam,2011] Kadam, M.S., Pathak, S.S., *Experimental analysis and comparative performance of coated and uncoated twist drill bit dry machining*, Int. J. of Research in Mech. Eng. and Technology, 1, 33-37, 2011.

15. [Xia,2004] Xia, R.S., Mahdavian, S.M., *Experimental studies of step drills and establishment of empirical equations for the drilling process*, Int. J. of Machine Tools & Manufacture, 45, 235–240, 2004.

16. [Abrão,2007] Abrão, A.M., et al., *The effect* of cutting tool geometry on thrust force and delamination when drilling glass fibre reinforced plastic composite, Mat. and Design, 1-6, 2007.

17. [Ema,2012] Ema, S., *Effects of twist drill point geometry on torque and thrust*, Science Report of the Faculty of Education Journal, Gifu University, 36, 165-174, ISSN 0533-9529, 2012.

18. [Ben Salem,2012] Ben Salem, S., Bayraktar, E., Boujelbene, M., Katundi, D., *Effect of cutting parameters on chip formation in orthogonal cutting*, J. of Achievements in Materials and Manuf. Eng., 50, 7-17, 2012.

19. [Hamade,2006] Hamade, R.F., Seif, C.Y., Ismail, F., *Extracting cutting force coefficients from drilling experiments*, Int. J. of Machine Tools & Manuf., 46, 387–396, 2006.

20. [Baroiu,2013] Baroiu, N., Croitoru, C., Berbinschi, S., Teodor, V., Totolici, S., *Cutting force and torque at drilling with curved cutting edge multi-flute drill*, Proceedings of the 1st WSEAS International Conference on Industrial and Manufacturing Technologies - INMAT '13, Vouliagmeni, Greece, 5, 46-51, 2013.

21. [Susac,2016] Susac, F., Beznea, E.F., Baroiu, N., *Artificial Neural Network Applied to Prediction of Buckling Behavior of the Thin Walled Box*, Int. Conf. EBuilt, Advanced Engineering Forum, 21, 141-150, 2016.